Durant, Jennah

From: Durant, Jennah

Sent: Wednesday, August 02, 2017 2:43 PM

To: 'Daniel Ross'

Subject: RE: Georgia Pacific - Crossett

OK, got it. Thanks.

From: Daniel Ross [mailto:dross1@gm.slc.edu] **Sent:** Wednesday, August 02, 2017 2:41 PM **To:** Durant, Jennah < Durant.Jennah@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Georgia Pacific - Crossett

Hi Jennah - the 2015 reports clear up the misunderstandings I had in this original question from before:

- I understand that EPA conducted a number of inspections of GP towards the end of last year. I was wondering what information (reports, etc...) you can provide on those inspections - is the EPA still working with GP to negotiate a resolution?

But I'm still looking for answers to the following questions:

- Is the data from the hydrogen sulfide air monitoring program around the GP plant available to the public? And if not, when will these results be made public?
- In what capacity is EPA working with ADEQ, while ADEQ conducts its triennial review of the facility?
- Lastly, I was wondering what information you can provide as to any follow up (and possible enforcement actions) taken about toxic hazardous waste stemming from GP that was dumped on the land of a private citizen called Ken Atkins (who lives in Crossett). In a documentary titled Company Town, about the legacy of contamination from the plant, an EPA inspector acknowledges the possible contamination, but I can't find anything online about any follow up enforcement actions taken.

Cheers,

Dan Ross (323) 482 8911 @1danross

On Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 12:33 PM, Durant, Jennah < Durant, Jennah @epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Dan, just to clarify—do the inspection reports you found obviate any of your previous questions?

From: Daniel Ross [mailto:dross1@gm.slc.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 3:55 PM

To: Durant, Jennah < <u>Durant.Jennah@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: Georgia Pacific - Crossett

No worries about the dropped call!

Yes, I've contacted ADEQ about one air monitoring program, but I understand that EPA itself has conducted much more extensive air monitoring around the plant. Was wondering if that data is available.
I should also expain that I was mistaken in my original questions - the inspections I was after were from 2015, not towards the end of last year, and I've found those reports online.
Dan
On Tue, Aug 1, 2017 at 1:49 PM Durant, Jennah < <u>Durant.Jennah@epa.gov</u> > wrote: Hi Dan,
Sorry about the dropped call earlier; I was in a bad area for reception. Let me check on the status of the study and results. I might have asked you this already, but have you also contacted the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality? I believe the Arkansas Department of Health has been involved with the facility and residents as well.
Thank you for your patience.
Jennah
From: Daniel Ross [mailto:dross1@gm.slc.edu] Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 2:31 PM To: Durant, Jennah < Durant.Jennah@epa.gov > Subject: Georgia Pacific - Crossett
Hi Jennah
This is Dan Ross, the reporter with FairWarning working on the story about the Georgia Pacific plant in Crossett.

I'd sent you a few questions a number of weeks ago. I'm not sure how far along you are getting that info, but I've refined the questions here:
- Is the data from the hydrogen sulfide air monitoring program around the GP plant available to the public? And if not, when will these results be made public?
- In what capacity is EPA working with ADEQ, while ADEQ conducts its triennial review of the facility?
- Lastly, I was wondering what information you can provide as to any follow up (and possible enforcement actions) taken about toxic hazardous waste stemming from GP that was dumped on the land of a private citizen called Ken Atkins. In a documentary titled Company Town, about the legacy of contamination from the plant, an EPA inspector acknowledges the possible contamination, but I can't find anything online about any follow up enforcement actions taken.
I'd appreciate this information by the end of the week, if possible.
Sincerely,
Dan Ross
(323) 482 8911
@1danross